Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/576,509	CHATELLARD ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ROBERT M. KELLY	1633	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>ROBERT M. KELLY</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>FRANK C. EISENSCHENK</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>03 November 2011</u> .			
Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant [applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If Yes, brief description:	⊠ No.		
ISSUES DISCUSSED 101 112 102 103 Others (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>all</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>none</u> .			
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc)			
Over the course of several telephone calls and faxes, the set of claims as presented after the Examiner's amendment were agreed to, and it was agreed to provide such by way of examiner's amendment.			
Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.			
Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other perintent matters discussed regarding patentiability and the general thrust of results are nutrome of the interview, in indication, as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues crised.			
Attachment			
/ROBERT M KELLY/			

Application No.

Applicant(s)